1 2 3 4 5	KEVIN V. RYAN (CSBN 118321) United States Attorney EUMI L. CHOI (WVBN 0722) Chief, Criminal Division MONICA FERNANDEZ (CSBN 168216) Assistant United States Attorney 450 Golden Gate Avenue, Box 36055		
6	San Francisco, California 94102-3495		
7	Telephone: (415) 436-7065 FAX: (415) 436-7234		
′	E-mail: Monica.L.Fernandez@usdoj.gov		
8			
9	Attorneys for Plaintiff		
10			
11	UNITED STATES	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
12	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
13	SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION		
14			
		N. CD 05 00402 IGW	
15	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,)	No. CR-05-00482- JSW	
16	Plaintiff,	[PROPOSED] ORDER EXCLUDING TIME UNDER THE SPEEDY TRIAL ACT	
17	v.		
18			
19	JINKY AN MANIULIT,)		
20	Defendants.		
21			
22	This work was a superior of the Hammalla Leffers C. White an Lawrence 12, 2007		
	This matter came on the calendar of the Honorable Jeffrey S. White on January 12, 2006.		
23	At that time, the parties requested that the matter be continued until February 16, 2006 at 2:30		
24	p.m.		
25	The parties requested an exclusion of time under the Speedy Trial Act from January 12		
26	through February 16, 2006 based upon the need for continuity of counsel and effective		
27	preparation of counsel. The defendant's counsel, Ira Salzman, is currently undergoing		
28	chemotherapy treatment for cancer and, thus, needs more time to prepare this case and to recover		
	ORDER EXCLUDING TIME No. CR-05-00482- JSW		

Case 4:05-cr-00482-JCS Document 15 Filed 01/17/06 Page 2 of 2

from treatment. Therefore, the parties are requesting an exclusion of time. The parties agree that 1 2 the time from January 12 through February 16, 2006 should be excluded in computing the time 3 within which trial shall commence. See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(8)(A) and (B)(iv). Accordingly, the Court HEREBY ORDERS that the time from January 12 through 4 5 February 16, 2006 is excluded under the Speedy Trial Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3161. The Court finds 6 that the failure to grant the requested exclusion would deny the defendant continuity of counsel 7 and reasonable time necessary for effective preparation, taking into account the exercise of due diligence. See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(8)(B)(iv). The Court finds that the ends of justice served by 8 9 granting the requested exclusion outweigh the best interest of the public and the defendant in a 10 speedy trial and in the prompt disposition of criminal cases. See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(8)(A). The 11 Court therefore concludes that this exclusion of time should be made under 18 U.S.C. § 12 3161(h)(8)(A). SO ORDERED. 13 14 DATED: January 17, 2006 15 16 17 Approved as to form: 18 19 20 /s/ Ira Salzman IRA SALZMAN, ESQ. 21 Counsel for Defendant SANCHO 22 23 /s/ Monica Fernandez MONICA FERNANDEZ 24 Assistant United States Attorney Counsel for the United States 25 26 27 28